App F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 67 26

Previous References (Inward)

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for October 1926

SUBJECT

Recommended that S. No 2 & 43 of Byke & two small kuchalle villages in the Gubbi Taluq may be continued as devadaya a inam subject to the payment of cesses thereon

G.O. No 66366 dated 2.10.26

Inwg. Comr
Comptr
Secy. R & S.
Dy. C. Timber

Govt. are pleased to sanction the Inwg. Comr's recommendation

1926

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inuzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 67
1926

FILE HEADING.

Grant of inam lands to the temples of Sri Basavanna Devaru and Sri Anjaneya Devaru at Byadanamallahalli, Subbi Taluk

From

The Inuzrai Commissioner

No. C.146 Dated 28/8/1926 Received 30/8/26.

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.
305	1+2c	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that Re S. nos. 2 and 43 of Byadanamallahalli Village in the Subbi Taluk may be continued as Devadaya inam subject to the payment of Jodi Inam.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Inuz. Comr's. Letter explaining the facts of the case fully. Submitted. For orders as to whether the Ml's term may be 3/9/1926. Secret

BL 8 May be sanctioned.
3/9/26

Rev. Secy 3/9

NOTES—First Sheet.

The assessment on the Inam lands

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Revn File No (7) of 192

Serial No.

4 of the two tenures is 6-4-0 and the govt is 3-8-0
The proposal which amounts to the re-grant of the
fair Inam of 2-12-0 maybe sanctioned

(2nd member)

Chn 5.9.26

5- appd
7/9/26 Chn

6/9

draft
7/9

OFFICE OF THE SECOND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF COUNCIL
6 SEP. 1926
No 1848.

6
Dft. submitted
21/9
Draft needs revision.
Dy 13/9/26.
15/9

Draft revised
Please put up
a typed draft
21/9

8 Typed Dft submitted for approval.
KP 14/9/26
23
28/9
Rev. Secy
23/9

Appd. Issue
Chn 26.9.26
27/9

2. To, Revn Commr, Comptr
Lieph, PO, Survey, Salt & Customs
No 663-6
Mem-Dated

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

11175

No. C/1460/26.27 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
enclosed one file Dated Bangalore, 26th August 1926

REV. SECRETARIAT
30AUG1926
MUZRAI

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

D 305

To

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
BR
28. AUG. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.C.1970 25-26 dated 29th July 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner (S) Tumkur District recommending that Re S.Nos.2 and 43 of Byadaramallahalli village in the Gubbi Taluk may be continued as devadaya Inam to the temples of Sri Basavannadevaru and Sri Anjaneyadevaru at the place subject to -- the payment of the jodi thereon and to state as follows:--

These two S.Nos. measuring 2-14 and 1-39 and formerly assessed at Rs.1-8-0 and 1-4-0 are reported to have been shown as Devadaya Inam in the Jodidar Patrika of 1882-3 and on its strength they were allowed to be treated as inam at the time of the original settlement. The Patel of the village is said to have been in the possession and enjoyment of these lands paying the Jodi of 14 as and 9 as 3 pies from a very long time, conducting service in the temple. At the time of the Resurvey in 1922-23 it was ordered that the full assessment of Rs.2-0-0 and Rs.1-8-0 as newly fixed should be levied thereon as -- these two S.Nos. were not shown as Inam either in the Quit-Rent or Kalsat Register. The Survey Superintendent who was written to in the matter in 1924 states that this might be set right by a reference to Government.

The villagers in their Mahazar dated 6th October 1923 have -- stated that the Patel might be allowed to be in the possession of the Survey numbers paying jodi thereon, and conducting puja as hereto-

The local officers recommend the confirmation of the lands as Inam in favour of the respective institutions and the Deputy Commissioner -- agrees with them.

In the above circumstances I request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government to the treatment of these S.Nos. as devadaya Inam for the support of the temples as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner and to have the necessary entries made in the records of the Inam Superintendent's office.

The records accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. [illegible]

For Assistant Commissioner
Muzrai Commissioner.

(Absent from station)

No.O. .1970/25-26 dated.29th uly 192 from the - ssioner, Tumkur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in sore.

"I have the honor to state that the marginally noted two --

Byadaramallenahalli village.

1. Basavannadevaru.

	S.No.	Extent.	Assessment.	Jodi.
Old	2.	2-14	1-8-0	0-14-0
New	2.	2-14	2-0-0	1- 2-0

2. Anjaneyadevaru.

Old	4	1-39	1-4-0	0-9-3
New	43	1-39	1-8-0	0-14-9

(Survey Numbers are shown in the original Jodidar ---- Patrika of 1882-3 as Devadaya Inam lands belonging to the two temples of Basavanna devaru and Anjaneyadevaru of Byadaramallenahalli village in the Gubbi Taluk.

2. The Patel of the village who is said to be the Archak of these temples is reported to have been in the enjoyment and --- possession of the said Survey Numbers paying the Jodi thereon and conducting the Poojas in both the temples. As these two Survey Numbers did not find a place as Inam either in the Quit Rent or Kalsat Register it was ordered during re-survey settlement in -- 1922-23, on the Jodidar Patrika that full assessment should be - levied thereon.

3. The Patel in his statement dated the 29th March 1926 has stated that the Pooja is being offered in the temple of Basavanna Devaru by the members of his family from the time of his grandfather enjoying the Inam land attached to the said temple and paying jodi thereon, that he has not got any title-deed etc., with him and that as the archak of the Anjaneya temple left the place owing to the orders of levy of full assessment on the Inam land attached to that temple, he has been continuing the Pooja in the said temple also.

4. The villagers in their Mahazar dated the 6th October 1923 in supporting the Patel's statement urge that in the interest of the regular conduct of Pooja in both the temples, the two Survey Numbers in question may be continued as heretofore to the Archak, subject to levy of only jodi thereon.

5. From a reply dated the 7th April 1924 received from the - Survey Superintendent on the subject, it is clear that these lands were not, confirmed as 'Inam' at the time of the Inam settlement

that on the strength of theold jodidar Patrika, thelands were shown as Inam and that during the re-survey the mistake was found out, the lands were treated as sirkar and fully assessed. It is/mistake that the taluk authorities failed to take timely precaution to rectify this mistake.

6. Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer in supporting the view expressed by the villagers in their Mahazar, recommend that the lands may be ordered to be continued as Inam in the name of the --- institutions concerned. I agree with the Sub Division Officer and request that you may be so good as to move Government in the matter and obtain their kind sanction to the above recommendation."

7. The connected records accompany."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

nov.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

--

Read.-

Letter

Muz. Commr. in Mysore recommending the continuance as Devadaya Inam of Re-S.Nos.2 and 43 of Byadaramallenahalli village, Gubbi Taluk, to Sri Basavanna Devaru and Sri Anjaneya Devaru at the place, subject to the payment of Jodi thereon.

Rec. one file BM C.

Order No. 663-66 dated 2nd October 1924
Mesz 67-26-2.

It is reported that Re-S. Nos. 2 and 43 measuring 2 acres and 14 guntas and 1 acre and 59 guntas respectively and formerly assessed at Rs. 1-8-0 and 1-4-0 were shown in the Jodidar Patrika of 1882-3, as Devadaya Inam, belonging to Sri Basavannadevaru and Sri Anjaneyadevaru at Byadaramallenahalli village, Gubbi Taluk, ~~and~~ that on its strength, they were allowed to be treated as Inam at the time of the original settlement, that the Patel of the village has been in the possession and enjoyment of these lands paying the Jodi of 14 annas and 9 annas 3 pies from a very long time conducting service in the temple, and that, at the time of the Re-Survey in 1922-23, the full assessment of Rs.2/- and Rs. 1-8-0 as newly fixed was ordered to be levied thereon, as these two S.Nos. were not shown as Inam either in the quit-rent or Khalsa register. The villagers ~~kk~~ have now stated that the Patel might be allowed to be in possession

of the S.Nos. paying Jodi thereon and conducting Puja as heretofore. The D.C. proposes the confirmation of the lands as Inam in favour of the respective institutions. The M.C. agrees with the D.C. and recommends sanction to the treatment of these S.Nos. as Devadaya Inam for the support of the temples and to have the necessary entrie made in the records of the Inam Supdt's Offi

2. In these circumstances, Govt. are pleased to sanction the Muz. Commr's. recommendation.

fRn 26926

Rev. Secy. to Govt.

To

The Muzrai & Rev. Commr. in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Supdt. Rev. Survey & Settlements.

Copy to the Rev. Branch.